



Short communication

Oxygen-ozone (O₂-O₃) immunocutaneous therapy for patients with COVID-19. Preliminary evidence reported

Marianno Franzini^{a,b}, Luigi Valdenassi^{a,b}, Giovanni Ricevuti^c, Salvatore Chirumbolo^{d,*}, Markus Depfenhart^e, Dario Bertossi^f, Umberto Tirelli^{b,c}

^a SIOOT, High School in Oxygen Ozone Therapy, University of Pavia, Italy

^b SIOOT INTERNATIONAL, Communian Clinic, Gorle Bergamo, Italy

^c Department of Drug Science, University of Pavia, Italy

^d Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

^e Venlo University B.V., Venlo, Netherlands

^f Department of Surgery, Dentistry, Paediatrics and Gynaecology Unit of Maxillo-Facial Surgery, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Ozone therapy
Immunocutaneous
Oxygen
COVID-19
Pneumonia
D-dimer
IL-6

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study evaluated the potential efficacy of a novel approach to treat COVID-19 patients, using an oxygen-ozone (O₂-O₃) mixture, via a process called Oxygen-Ozone- Immunocutaneous Therapy. The methodology met the criteria of a novel, promising approach to treat successfully elderly COVID-19 patients, particularly when hospitalized in intensive care units (ICUs) **Experimental design:** We investigated the therapeutic effect of 4 cycles of O₂-O₃ in 50 hospitalized COVID-19 subjects suffering from acute respiratory disease syndrome (ARDS), aged more than 60 years, all males and undergoing non invasive mechanical ventilation in ICUs.

Results: Following O₂-O₃ treatment a significant improvement in inflammation and oxygenation indexes occurred rapidly and within the first 9 days after the treatment, despite the expected 14–20 days. A significant reduction of inflammatory and thromboembolic markers (CRP, IL-6, D-dimer) was observed. Furthermore, amelioration in the major respiratory indexes, such as respiratory and gas exchange markers (SatO₂%, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio), was reported.

Conclusion: Our results show that O₂-O₃ treatment would be a promising therapy for COVID-19 patients. It leads patients to a fast recovery from ARDS via the improvement of major respiratory indexes and blood gas parameters, following a relatively short time of dispensed forced ventilation (about one to two weeks). This study may encourage the scientific community to further investigate and evaluate the proposed method for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.

1. Introduction

The “new” coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, the causative pathogen of COVID-19, rapidly spread worldwide with a significant mortality rate [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), SARS-CoV-2 is characterized by a 14-days incubation period and despite this interval is typically reported for the previous SARS-CoV1, it is yet considered as the minimal window time where COVID-19 should initiate its symptomatology [2–4]. During the asymptomatic incubation time a quick global human-to-human transmission can occur, as infected people can easily escape simple detection methods such as body temperature. This hampers a rapid

intervention to prevent severe exacerbations caused by COVID-19 and leading people to intensive care units (ICUs). Initially China, then followed by most of the countries worldwide, have put millions of people under lockdown to face at the rapid SARS-CoV2 outbreak and to prevent further spreading of the disease by non-hospitalized and mainly asymptomatic people. In symptomatic COVID-19 patients an exacerbation of the disease, notably characterized by a systemic disorder of vascular physiology, pulmonary function and immunity, rapidly occurs [5,6]. Evidence-based medicine has recently developed a promising bulk of therapeutic approaches against this disease and research worldwide against COVID-19 is increasing its efforts. In this context, Hotchkiss and Opal introduced a new approach to fight virulent

* Corresponding author at: Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Strada Le Grazie, 9, 37134 Verona, Italy.

E-mail address: salvatore.chirumbolo@univr.it (S. Chirumbolo)

pathogens such as coronaviruses, via an immuno-modulation, a strategy they called “a new path” [7]. This should suggest that immuno-modulatory molecules, such as ozone, may counteract COVID-19 development. The authors actually addressed the possibility of tailoring targeted drugs to boost immune functions in patients with life-threatening infectious [7]. Therefore, molecules able to tune immunity whereas adjusting further physiological parameters are particularly attracting current pharmacology. This may be crucial for planning a novel immuno-therapeutic strategy to dampen the current COVID-19 outbreak, by modulating the immune response via molecules able to tune the cross talk between immunity and the lung microbiome or to directly affecting the virus life-cycle. A possibility may be offered by ozone.

In a letter to the Italian Society of Oxygen Ozone Therapy (SIOOT), dated March 24th 2020, the ISS, i.e. the Italian National Institute of Health, assessed a previously proposed treatment employing a mixture of oxygen and ozone (O₂-O₃) as effective to treat patients suffering from COVID-19. So far, only few interesting hypotheses were made to elucidate the potential mechanism of action of the Oxygen Ozone (O₂-O₃) therapy in the treatment of SARS-CoV2 infections and further research is needed [8,9].

Despite the great attention devoted to the possibility of using ozone in the therapy of COVID-19, very few, quite anecdotic attempts to use ozone in COVID-19 treatment were made so far [10]. As far as we know, to date no cohort observational clinical study has been performed for this new therapeutic strategy, if we except the very recent pre-print from Hernandez et al., besides the use in only modest clinical COVID-19 cases [11]. Further clinical data are needed to assess if ozone can be helpful in restoring lung functionality and oxygenation in COVID-19 affected patients.

This case series study has the purpose to evaluate for the first time the clinical impact of O₂-O₃ treatment in COVID-19 affected hospitalized patients, focusing on the pulmonary recovery and immune response following the described therapy.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects recruitment and co-morbidity

In this case series study, a number of 50 SARS-CoV2 on 78 positive elderly patients was considered. Patients undergoing ICU hospitalization for COVID-19, showing ARDS and interstitial pneumonia at chest computer tomography (CT), were considered eligible to be enrolled in the study, 48 of them completed the study, 2 died. All subjects (mean age 75 yrs ± 11.4 SD, all males) agreed and signed an informed consent.

Patient statistical stratification of the many different co-morbidities included 4%: no co-morbidity, 24%: 1 co-morbidity (obesity), 36%: 2 co-morbidities, (obesity with arterial hypertension), 32%: 2 co-morbidities (type 2 diabetes, obesity), 2%: three co-morbidities (type 2 diabetes, obesity, hypertension), 2%: others (COPD). The percentage of co-morbidities present in the sample was comparable to the co-morbidity stratification in the resident population of elderly people in Lombardy.

2.2. Sample size

Sample size was calculated for one study group vs the whole population and as an endpoint a binomial (dichotomous) approach, following an anticipated incidence of 27.85% (positive outcome) on the population of Lombardy and at least 50% of positive outcome in the study population, with power = 80%, alpha error = 0.05, beta error = 0.2. In this case the minimal number of samples was 34 (SPSS v 24.0), following previously reported methodological recommendations [12].

2.3. Inclusion criteria

The hospitalized patients underwent routine nasal-pharyngeal swabbing for SARS-CoV2 RT-qPCR (TaqMan2019-nCoV assay kit v1, Thermo-Fisher on Applied Biosystem 7500), according to standardized protocols and WHO guidelines [13]. O₂-O₃ treatment was included in the consensus routine therapy for COVID-19. Major inclusion (eligibility) criteria were represented by people with concomitant pneumonia and COVID-19 double positivity. All subjects were all male and older than 60 years, in order to achieve a homogeneous sampling regarding age. Some patients might have pharmacological untreated and/or compensated metabolic co-morbidities, whereas patients having diabetes were monitored for glucose levels [14].

2.4. Exclusion criteria

Major exclusion criteria were patients suffering from pulmonary or respiratory acute and/or severe syndrome without being positive for SARS-CoV2, age younger than 60 years old, undergoing tracheotomy and other highly critical invasive approaches in the intensive care units (ICUs) and suffering from severe cardiovascular pathologies. Due to the very critical condition associated with invasive tracheotomy, we decided to exclude these patients from O₂-O₃ treatment, as we cannot be ensured about possible exacerbating side effects in this circumstance.

2.5. Blood specimens and analysis

Patients underwent a set of laboratory tests throughout their O₂-O₃ treatment. K3-EDTA Vacutainer whole blood specimens, Na-citrate plasma and serum samples were collected and processed in the local hospital laboratories for whole blood analysis (WBCs, RBCs, PLTS, hemoglobin, hematocrit and leukocyte formula), C-reactive protein (CRP), IL6 (R&D Hu-Quantikine® ELISA kit), pro-calcitonin (PCT, R&D DY8350-05 ELISA kit), D-dimer (Human D2D Elabscience® ELISA kit), liver and kidney major functional markers and gas exchange/pH parameters (blood gas analysis, pH, peripheral partial pressures O₂ and CO₂, acidic components (H₂CO₃ — and lactate)), ratio arterial concentration of O₂ to the O₂ inspiration fraction (PaO₂/FiO₂). Major parameters indicating significant changes in patients' physiology during the O₂-O₃ treatment, were collected and further described.

2.6. Patients therapy and clinical outcomes (endpoints)

Patients were initially treated with the therapeutic panel shown in Table 1A. Because of COVID-19, these patients presented a severe impairment of pulmonary functionality and oxygen saturation percentage and underwent non invasive ventilation. These subjects represented the majority (86%) of all subjects included in this study, whereas the rest (11%) underwent invasive ventilation (en-

Table 1A
Elective pharmacological therapy used in the study.

o ATB Axitromicine/Tazobactam (500 mg/day for 6 days)
o Hydroxychloroquine (200 mg/day for 7 days)
o Methylprednisolone (50–100 mg/day for 7 days)
o Enoxheparine (Clexane®) (40–60 mg/day, customized to patient clinical status)
o Rehydration(1500–3000 ml saline/day)
o Metabolic rebalancing
o Ascorbate(1000 mg /day)

dotracheal intubation) and 3% unexpected tracheotomy, therefore excluded from the treatment. The therapy panel to treat COVID-19 was suggested and recommended according to the most recent clinical and pharmacological experience with SARS-CoV2-caused pneumonia [15]. O₂-O₃ treatment was introduced to have as major goals the following endpoints: a) reduction in the inflammation burst (markers of inflammation CRP \leq 30%, IL-6 \leq 25%), b) decrease of D-dimer (\leq 35%), c) increase in the parameters of respiratory functions and oxygen exchange (O₂ saturation % \geq 10%, PaO₂/FiO₂ \geq 6%).

The O₂O₃ therapy in COVID-19 patients was carried on according to the protocol used by SIOOT [8]. The treatment requires an ozone generator, a medical grade compressed oxygen, a syringe and a certified bag with an intravenous cannula for ozone therapy via auto-hemotherapy. A maximal volume of 200 ml of blood was withdrawn from any patient and collected in a CE certified SANO3 bag, then immediately treated with 45 μ g/ml O₂-O₃ mixture (Multioxygen Medical 95 CPS) and reintroduced into the circulatory blood directly [16].

Ozone therapy can be considered as extremely safe, with a reported complication rate of only 0.7 adverse events every 100,000 treatments (0.0007%), usually lasting 30 min [8]. It is also very cost effective [17]. Regularly peripheral blood samples were withdrawn from veni-puncture and samples were analyzed for blood gases. Within the first week of hospitalization, patients subjected to a non invasive ventilation, were suggested to undergo an O₂-O₃ treatment and time 0 started with the first treatment. O₂-O₃ treatment lasted from three to five (median = four) cycles with 100–200 ml (median = 125 ml, CI₉₅ = 45–215 ml) of O₂-O₃ once a day for 5 consecutive days (dosage: 45 μ g/ml) with a Multioxygen Medical 95 CPS in CE certified SANO3 bag. Then oxygen saturation indexes were evaluated.

2.7. Device

The device Multioxygen® Medical 95 (Rome, Italy) is an outpatient unit for O₂-O₃ therapy, which allows operators to customize the gas mixture according to the clinical request. The equipment is for medical and/or research use only. The O₂-O₃ mixture generator is managed by a microprocessor, which guarantees the precision of the delivery, once the O₃-O₂ mixture amount is selected by the operator. It is possible to customize the treatment by selecting the ozone concentration in a continuous range from 1 to 100 μ g of O₃. The ozone concentration can also be further modulated by varying the oxygen percentage of the mixture. The treatment can be performed by only expert and highly trained medical personnel and can be carried out in all conditions of use, especially for topical treatments.

2.8. Statistics

Data means \pm SD and CI₉₅ whenever indicated were used for statistics. A $p < 0.05$ value of significance from non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon rank test, following a Shapiro-Wilk test) was calculated for each matched group. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for two independent samples was performed to remove confounding effects from other therapeutic drugs that were administered during the O₂-O₃ treatment. Effect size calculation using the Cohen d test was also applied. Data were calculated with SPSS Vs. 24 and plotted with Sigma plot Vs.13.

3. Results

Fundamentally, a great heterogeneity of investigated parameters to follow up the ability of our O₂-O₃ therapy approach to

treat COVID-19 patients was observed. A limitation of the study is represented by a relatively small group of patients, despite the sample size minimal for a positive Cohen d test was 34. Yet, the investigation included a limited range of ages, and moreover the exclusion of female subjects and the possible interference, though prevented, of statistical confounders on the observed markers from other therapies. Despite these flaws, the most encouraging outcome is the ability of O₂-O₃ treatment to reduce significantly ($p = 0.0023$) the recovery of normal functional pulmonary parameters in less than 10 days (median 13.45 ± 2.33 days), respect to the expected, estimated values for hospitalization in our structures (22.13 ± 3.44 days). Table 1B Major changes of clinical and laboratory data on O₂-O₃ treated patients before and after the treatment (CI₉₅ ranges) shows O₂-O₃ treatment effects observed on a selected group of parameters evaluated in the present study following an ANCOVA test. Ozone effect on the increase in leukocytes was not significant, whereas two major inflammatory markers, i.e. CRP and IL-6, were markedly reduced, i.e. of about 48.15% (covariance = 9576.177, $p = 0.0167$), and 86.17% (covariance = 9113.337, $p = 0.0275$), respectively. Reductions were observed also for bacterial-mediated inflammation markers (pro-calcitonin, PCT) and fasting glucose, despite the hypoglycemic effect of O₂-O₃ treatment was non-significant ($p > 0.05$, see Tables 1B. Among the observed effects of O₂-O₃ treatment, it is noteworthy the increase in the percentage of O₂ saturation (SatO₂%) = + 13.26%, $p < 0.001$. This result has been further evaluated considering that Hb values and arterial pressures were almost unchanged during the O₂-O₃ treatment and that the ratio PaO₂/FiO₂ increased following the treatment, just irrespective of the modest increase (+ 4,5%) following noninvasive mechanical ventilation (ANCOVA $p = 0.00456$), so reporting a possible recovery of normal respiratory functions mainly due to O₂-O₃ treatment (see also Fig. 1)

Fig. 1A shows the variability expressed in box plots as delta percentage ($\Delta\%$) (the difference % between post- and pre- O₂-O₃ treatment) with means and their CI₉₅ of the blood gas analysis and functional gas-exchange (respiratory) indexes. The largest variability can be observed for serum lactate (mmol/L) (mean = $- 8,220\% \pm 39.91$ SD) and the (PaO₂/FiO₂Ratio, mean =

Table 1B
Major changes of clinical and laboratory data on O₂-O₃ treated patients before and after treatment (CI₉₅ ranges).

PARAMETER	BEFORE	AFTER	P (*)
Temperature	99.86–101.66 °F	96.8–97.7 °F	↓ P > 0.05
Heart rate (min–max)	90–100	70–75	↓ P > 0.05
Sat O ₂ %	80–85%	90–95%	↑ P < 0.001
Fasting glucose mg/dL	200–250	120–150	↓ P > 0.05
ALT (IU/L)	90–100	40–50	↓ P < 0.05
Creatinine mg/dL	1,5–3,0	1,0–1,3	↓ P > 0.05
Leukocytes number/ μ l	3,000–4,000	\geq 5,500	↑ P > 0.05
CRP mg/dL	10–15	\leq 5,0	↓ P < 0.01
IL6 (IU/L)	25–660	\leq 100	↓ P < 0.001
LDH IU/L	300–350	\leq 300	↓ P < 0.05
Procalcitonin ng/ml	\leq 0.5 ng/ml	\leq 0.05 ng/ml	↓ P < 0.05




Fig. 1. (A) Box plots of the delta percentage (delta%) of major blood gas analysis and respiratory gaseous indexes before and after the last O_2-O_3 treatment [(last – before)/before]*100; (B) Delta % of D-dimer (ng/ml) before and after the last O_2-O_3 treatment [(last – before)/before]*100; (C) Delta % of IL-6 (IU/L) before and after the last O_2-O_3 treatment [(last – before)/before]*100; (D) Time course of Sat O_2 % in respect to the different treatments points. In the small panel the delta % of cumulated data from before and from the last performed treatment (8.6 ± 1.4 days of treatment, Wilcoxon $p = 0.000234$). Statistics SPSS v 24.0. Plots with Sigma Plot v 13.0.

+13.812% \pm 58.897 SD). A very interesting result is the significant reduction of D-dimer in patients undergoing at least three consecutive treatments with O_2-O_3 . In these patients, circulating D-dimer lowered of about 50.617% \pm 21.904 SD respect to the level reported before treatment ($p = 0.002$) (Fig. 1B). O_2-O_3 treatment reduced dramatically also systemic inflammation, by dampening the level of serum IL-6 (-86.17% \pm 33.44 SD, Fig. 1C) and restoring the percentage of O_2 saturation throughout the whole treatment (Fig. 1D). The Δ delta percentage of Sat O_2 %, adjusted for the possible statistical confounders, increased of about one sixth following 8.6 ± 1.4 days of treatment respect to the starting condition (ANCOVA test, $p = 0.00543$) (panel inside Fig. 1D).

4. Discussions

Data show that O_2-O_3 treatment can improve COVID-19 patients' clinical condition towards their quite complete recovery in a very modest time course, boosting many gas exchange parameters in subjects undergoing forced non invasive ventilation in ICUs. This brought patients quite rapidly towards a normal respiratory physiology, reducing the inflammatory, ischemic and thromboembolic impact and ultimately resulting in a quite full recovery of parameters of O_2 saturation percentages (O_2 Sat%). A possible explanation for the action of O_2-O_3 might encompass macro-effects due to the subject's response to ischemia/reperfusion injury or thromboembolic mechanisms, possibly occurring in COVID-19-caused pneumonia. However, as such explanation should include the possi-

ble effect of further therapy with which O_2-O_3 treatment would be associated, a much direct molecular effect of ozone must be considered to shed light on the evidence here reported. This direct action, to be further demonstrated with a larger group of patients, should involve an improvement in the host's immune response, particularly if inflammation is properly dampened.

Actually, further mechanisms could be implicated, to elucidate the effect of O_2-O_3 treatment in COVID-19.

A possibility may come from the induction of Nrf2-mediated blocking of the fusion of SARS-CoV2 S protein with the ACE2 receptor [18]. Coronaviruses possess abundant cysteines in their spike proteins, which may be easily and safely targeted by ozone as a pro-oxidant molecule. As cysteine residues are also abundant in conserved motifs of the viral envelope proteins, essential for viral cell entry, they are also a target for palmitoylation. Ozone's ability to effectively inactivate cysteine dependent proteins was described recently as an ozonide attack on cysteine-dependent papain [18]. It is believed that enzymes could be inactivated by oxidizing the active sulfhydryl group to sulfenate or sulfenic acid. These mechanisms are able to directly dampen SARS-CoV2 infection and to result in a more rapid recovery from pneumonia. Furthermore, coronavirus spike proteins are also rich in tryptophan, which likewise cysteine is very vulnerable for oxidation [3]. So, it is possible to argue about a direct effect of ozone on the viral biology of SARS-CoV2.

Furthermore, the interesting result about D-dimer expands the debate about the role of our O_2-O_3 treatment in thromboembolism,

or the co-morbid vascular and thrombotic disorders associated with COVID-19, as D-dimer is usually increased during COVID-19 and highly modulated in the presence of ozone [19,20]. This may be a further intriguing cause for which ozone is efficacious in our study.

Also, we are persuaded that O₂-O₃ acts on the nitric oxide (NO) and iNOS signaling pathways. NO dampens the viral replication of SARS-CoV2, particularly by inhibiting the palmitoylation of the S (spike) protein, so preventing virus attachment to the ACE2 receptor [21,22]. Here it should also be mentioned that ozone increases the expression of the induced nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), the main enzyme producing NO, in rat type II pneumocytes [23]. Moreover, the action of O₂-O₃ on NLRP3 inflammasome should be also considered, probably it causes an effect on IL-6 [24].

Finally, we are currently investigating if one of the major causative mechanism in COVID-19 is actually related to thromboembolic phenomena, our observed reduction in markers such as D-dimer appears to be encouraging evidence supporting the issue, despite the fact that ischemia/reperfusion injury (HRI), was a much more stressed issue in the research about ozone treatment [25,26].

The role of HRI in this context should be better highlighted. Ozone was evaluated in aortic dissection models where following ozonation of whole peripheral blood, some authors reported that the median levels of PO₂ and SatO₂ were increased in samples with ozone concentrations at 40 µg/mL, 80 µg/mL and 160 µg/mL in both patients with aortic dissection and in the control group, (p < 0.05), compared with samples exposed to 0 µg/mL ozone. Ozone, in this circumstance does not damage blood cells and erythrocytes [27].

5. Conclusion

This is a case series study where we showed that, despite the relative small number of cases, ozone, as part of the O₂-O₃ therapy, may reduce the impact and possible exacerbation caused by COVID-19 related pneumonia in elderly hospitalized patients undergoing non invasive ventilation in a time significantly shorter than the expected mean from other therapies. Obviously, this evidence needs to be reappraised and further confirmed. Limitation of the study are represented by criticism in the homogeneity of patients, based on their co-morbidities and by the existence of confounding variables, which are quite impossible to remove in a case series study. Notably, our evidence suggests that a systemic oxygen ozone therapy can be considered as a novel and effective method to immune-modulate host response against SARS-CoV2. Because of the relatively small group of patients, the effects described here need to be further assessed. The use of this new therapy in combination with other treatment options like the usage of special drugs such as hydroxychloroquine or Remdesivir®, may be recommended in COVID-19 patients. We are aware of that further studies on a larger collective are requested to confirm our hypothesis. We encourage our colleagues to find confirmation of our approach, also immediately in combination with their current treatment regimen, in order to generate more evidence that O₂O₃ therapy works synergistically and effectively in controlling and treating COVID-19 pneumonia.

Uncited reference

[4].

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Marianno Franzini: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft. **Luigi Valdenassi:** Investigation, Resources, Visualization. **Giovanni Ricevuti:** Investiga-

tion, Resources, Visualization. **Salvatore Chirumbolo:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Markus Depfenhart:** Methodology, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. **Dario Bertossi:** Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation. **Umberto Tirelli:** Software, Visualization.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106879>.

References

- [1] T Li, H Lu, W Zhang, Clinical observation and management of COVID-19 patients, *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 9 (1) (2020) 687–690.
- [2] S A Lauer, K H Grantz, Q Bi, F K Jones, Q Zheng, H R Meredith, A S Azman, N G Reich, J Lessler, The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application, *Ann. Intern. Med.* 172 (9) (2020) 577–582.
- [3] D Wu, T Wu, Q Liu, Z Yang, The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak: What we know [published online ahead of print, 2020 Mar 12], *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 94 (2020) 44–48.
- [4] J Liu, X Zheng, Q Tong, W Li, B Wang, K Sutter, M Trilling, M Lu, U Dittmer, D Yang, Overlapping and discrete aspects of the pathology and pathogenesis of the emerging human pathogenic coronaviruses SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and 2019-nCoV, *J. Med. Virol.* 92 (5) (2020) 491–494.
- [5] Q Ruan, K Yang, W Wang, L Jiang, J Song, Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China, *Intensive Care Med* 46 (5) (2020) 846–848.
- [6] M Sorbello, K El-Boghdady, I Di Giacinto, R Cataldo, C Esposito, S Falchetta, G Merli, G Cortese, R M Corso, F Bressan, S Pintauro, R Greif, A Donati, F Pettrini, The Italian coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak: recommendations from clinical practice, *Anaesthesia* 75 (6) (2020) 724–732.
- [7] E G Phimister, R S Hotchkiss, S M Opal, Activating Immunity to Fight a Foe — A New Path, *N Engl J Med* 382 (13) (2020) 1270–1272.
- [8] L Valdenassi, M Franzini, G Ricevuti, L Rinaldi, A C Galoforo, U Tirelli, Potential mechanisms by which the oxygen-ozone (O₂-O₃) therapy could contribute to the treatment against the coronavirus COVID-19, *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 24 (8) (2020) 4059–4061.
- [9] S. Chirumbolo, G. Björklund. The bimodal SARS-CoV2 as an effect of environmental and allergic causes *J Allergy Clin Immunology* 2020 in press, DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.05.011>
- [10] A Hernández, P J Papadokos, A Torres, et al., Two known therapies could be useful as adjuvant therapy in critical patients infected by COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Apr 14]. Dos terapias conocidas podrían ser efectivas como adyuvantes en el paciente crítico infectado por COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Apr 14], *Rev. Esp. Anestesiol Reanim.* S0034–9356 (20) (2020) 30075–X, doi:10.1016/j.redar.2020.03.004.
- [11] A. Hernandez, V., Montserrat, A., Pablos, F., Vlas, P., J., Papadokos, D., N., Wijeyesundera, M., Vives. Ozone therapy for patients with SARS-CoV2 pneumonia: a single-center prospective cohort study *medRxiv* doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.03.20117994>, June 12, 2020.
- [12] M A Pourhoseingholi, M Vahedi, M Rahimzadeh, Sample size calculation in medical studies, *Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Bed. Bench.* 6 (1) (2013) 14–17.
- [13] P T Lan, H Q Cuong, H T Linh, N T Hieu, N H Anh, T Ton, T C Dong, V T Thao, D T H Tuoi, N D Tuan, H T Kim Loan, N T Long, C M Thang, N D Hai, N T T Thao, Development of standardized specimens with known concentrations for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 Realtime-RT-PCR testing validation, *Bull. World Health Organ.* E-pub 20 (April 2020) [Preprint], doi:10.2471/BLT.20.259630.
- [14] R Marfella, P Paolisso, C Sardu, L Bergamaschi, E C D'Angelo, M Barbieri, M R Rizzo, V Messina, P Maggi, N Coppola, C Pizzi, M Biffi, P Viale, N Galiè, G Paolisso, Negative impact of hyperglycaemia on tocilizumab therapy in Covid-19 patients [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 21]. *Diabetes Metab.* S1262 3636 (20) (2020) 30082–30083, doi:10.1016/j.diabet.2020.05.005.
- [15] D G Ahn, H J Shin, M H Kim, et al., Current Status of Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Therapeutics, and Vaccines for Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 30 (3) (2020) 313–324.
- [16] A M Elvis, J S Ekta, Ozone therapy: A clinical review, *J. Nat. Sci. Biol. Med.* 2 (1) (2011) 66–70, doi:10.4103/0976-9668.82319.

- [17] V., Simonetti, V., Quagliariello, M., Franzini, R.V., Iaffaioli, N., Maurea, L., Valdenassi. Ozone Exerts Cytoprotective and Anti-Inflammatory Effects in Cardiomyocytes and Skin Fibroblasts after Incubation with Doxorubicin. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2019 (2019) 2169103. Published 2019 Nov 18. doi:10.1155/2019/2169103H.
- [18] J M Zhang, Y Penninger, N Li, A S Zhong, Slutsky, Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a SARS-CoV-2 receptor: molecular mechanisms and potential therapeutic target, *Intensive Care Med.* 46 (4) (2020) 586–590.
- [19] H Han, L Yang, R Liu, et al., Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection [published online ahead of print, 2020 Mar 16], *Clin. Chem. LabMed.* (2020) /j/cclm.ahead-of-print/cclm-2020-0188/cclm-2020-0188.xml, doi:10.1515/cclm-2020-0188.
- [20] J I Kahle, L M Neas, R B Devlin, et al., Interaction effects of temperature and ozone on lung function and markers of systemic inflammation, coagulation, and fibrinolysis: a crossover study of healthy young volunteers, *Environ Health Perspect.* 123 (4) (2015) 310–316, doi:10.1289/ehp.1307986.
- [21] S Akerström, V Gunalan, C T Keng, Y J Tan, A Mirazimi, Dual effect of nitric oxide on SARS-CoV replication: viral RNA production and palmitoylation of the S protein are affected, *Virology* 395 (1) (2009) 1–9.
- [22] C Robba, C Robba, D Battaglini, L Ball, L N Patroniti, M Loconte, I Brunetti, A Vena, D Giacobbe, M Bassetti, P R M Rocco, P Pelosi, Distinct phenotypes require distinct respiratory management strategies in severe COVID-19, *Respiratory Physiology and amp, Neurobiology* (2020), doi:10.1016/j.resp.2020.103455.
- [23] C J Punjabi, J D Laskin, K J Pendino, N L Goller, S K Durham, D L Laskin, Production of nitric oxide by rat type II pneumocytes: increased expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase following inhalation of a pulmonary irritant, *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 11 (2) (1994) 165–172.
- [24] R J Rowen, Ozone and oxidation therapies as a solution to the emerging crisis in infectious disease management: a review of current knowledge and experience, *Med Gas Res.* 9 (4) (2019) 232–237.
- [25] M Sagai, V Bocci, Mechanisms of Action Involved in Ozone Therapy: Is healing induced via a mild oxidative stress?, *Med Gas Res.* 1 (2011) 29.
- [26] S. Safari, M. Mehrani, M. Yousefifard. Pulmonary Thromboembolism as a Potential Cause of Clinical Deterioration in COVID-19 Patients; a Commentary. *Arch Acad Emerg Med.* 8(1) (2020) e52. Published 2020 Apr 19.
- [27] L Deng, W Meng, D Li, D Qiu, S Wang, H Liu, The effect of ozone on hypoxia, hemolysis and morphological change of blood from patients with aortic dissection (AD): a preliminary in vitro experiment of ozonated autohemotherapy for treating AD, *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 10 (6) (2018) 1829–1840 Published 2018 Jun 15.